

P. Mutlu and Z. Şentürk

**ON WALKER TYPE IDENTITIES LOCALLY CONFORMAL
 KAEHLER SPACE FORMS**

Abstract. The notion of locally conformal Kaehler manifold (l.c.K-manifold) in Hermitian Geometry has been introduced by I. Vaisman in 1976. In this work, we present results on l.c.K-space forms satisfying curvature identities named Walker type identities.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g, J) be a real $m = 2n$ -dimensional Hermitian manifold with the structure (J, g) , where J is the almost complex structure and g is the Hermitian metric. Then

$$J^2 = -Id, \quad g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M . The fundamental 2-form Ω is defined by

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y) = -\Omega(Y, X).$$

The manifold M is called a *locally conformal Kaehler manifold (an l.c.K-manifold)* if each point x in M has an open neighborhood U with a positive differentiable function $\rho : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g^* = e^{-2\rho} g |_{\text{U}}$$

is a Kaehlerian metric on U . Especially, if we can take $U = M$, then the manifold M is said to be *globally conformal Kaehler*.

A Hermitian manifold whose metric is locally conformal to a Kaehler metric is called an l.c.K-manifold. I. Vaisman gives its characterization as follows [10] :

A Hermitian manifold M is an l.c.K-manifold if and only if there exists on M a global closed 1-form α such that

$$d\Omega = 2\alpha \wedge \Omega,$$

where α is called the *Lee form*.

A Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is an l.c.K-manifold if and only if

$$(A.1) \quad \nabla_k J_{ij} = -\beta_i g_{kj} + \beta_j g_{ki} - \alpha_i J_{kj} + \alpha_j J_{ki},$$

where

$$\beta_j = -\alpha_r J^r_j.$$

An l.c.K-manifold M is called an *l.c.K-space form* if the holomorphic sectional curvature of the section $\{X, JX\}$ at each point of M has a constant value. Let $M(c)$ be an

l.c.K-space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature c , then the Riemannian curvature tensor R_{ijhk} with respect to g_{ij} has the form [8]

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{hijk} &= \frac{c}{4}(g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik} + J_{hk}J_{ij} - J_{hj}J_{ik} - 2J_{hi}J_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4}(P_{hk}g_{ij} + P_{ij}g_{hk} - P_{hj}g_{ik} - P_{ik}g_{hj}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{P}_{hk}J_{ij} + \tilde{P}_{ij}J_{hk} - \tilde{P}_{hj}J_{ik} - \tilde{P}_{ik}J_{hj} - 2\tilde{P}_{hi}J_{jk} - 2\tilde{P}_{jk}J_{hi}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{P}_{ij} = -P_{ir}J_j^r$,

$$(A.3) \quad P_{ij} = -\nabla_i \alpha_j - \alpha_i \alpha_j + \frac{\|\alpha\|^2}{2} g_{ij}$$

is hybrid, i.e., $P_{ir}J_j^r + P_{jr}J_i^r = 0$, $P_{ij} = P_{ji}$ and $\|\alpha\|$ denotes the length of Lee form.

Contracting (A.2) with g^{hk} , we have

$$(A.4) \quad S_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}\{(m+2)c + 3 \operatorname{tr}P\}g_{ij} + \frac{3}{4}(m-4)P_{ij},$$

where S denotes the Ricci tensor with respect to g .

PROPOSITION 1. [9] *If the tensor field P is hybrid and the trace of the tensor field P is constant in a 4-dimensional l.c.K-space form $M(c)$, then $M(c)$ is Einstein.*

THEOREM 1. [9] *A real m -dimensional ($m \neq 4$) l.c.K-space form $M(c)$ in which the tensor field P is hybrid and the trace of the tensor field P is constant is Einstein if and only if the tensor field P is proportional to g .*

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional, $n \geq 3$, semi-Riemannian connected manifold of class C^∞ with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The Ricci operator S is defined by $g(SX, Y) = S(X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ being the Lie algebra of vector fields on M .

We define the endomorphisms $X \wedge_A Y$, $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z$ and $C(X, Y)$ of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$(A.5) \quad (X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y,$$

$$(A.6) \quad \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

$$C(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z$$

$$(A.7) \quad - \frac{1}{n-2}(X \wedge_g SY + SX \wedge_g Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}X \wedge_g Y)Z,$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, A is a symmetric (0,2)-tensor, κ the scalar curvature and $[X, Y]$ is the Lie bracket of vector fields X and Y . In particular we have $(X \wedge_g Y) = X \wedge Y$.

The Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor R , the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C and the (0,4)-tensor G of (M, g) are defined by

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(\mathcal{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4), \\ C(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g(C(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4), \\ G(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= g((X_1 \wedge_g X_2)X_3, X_4), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \Xi(M)$.

A tensor \mathcal{B} of type (1,3) on M is said to be a *generalized curvature tensor* if

$$(A.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{X_1, X_2, X_3} \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)X_3 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2) + \mathcal{B}(X_2, X_1) &= 0, \\ B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= B(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$.

For symmetric (0,2)-tensor E and F we define their Kulkarni-Nomizu product $E \wedge F$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (E \wedge F)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= E(X_1, X_4)F(X_2, X_3) + E(X_2, X_3)F(X_1, X_4) \\ &\quad - E(X_1, X_3)F(X_2, X_4) - E(X_2, X_4)F(X_1, X_3). \end{aligned}$$

For a symmetric (0,2)-tensor E and a (0,k)-tensor T , $k \geq 2$, we define their Kulkarni-Nomizu product $E \wedge T$ by [3]

$$\begin{aligned} (E \wedge T)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4; Y_3, \dots, Y_k) &= E(X_1, X_4)T(X_2, X_3, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) \\ &\quad + E(X_2, X_3)T(X_1, X_4, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) \\ &\quad - E(X_1, X_3)T(X_2, X_4, Y_3, \dots, Y_k) \\ &\quad - E(X_2, X_4)T(X_1, X_3, Y_3, \dots, Y_k). \end{aligned}$$

For symmetric (0, 2)-tensor E and F we have [6]

$$(A.10) \quad Q(E, E \wedge F) = -Q(F, \bar{E}),$$

where $\bar{E} = \frac{1}{2}E \wedge E$. We also have [7]

$$(A.11) \quad E \wedge Q(E, F) = -Q(F, \bar{E}).$$

For a (0,k)-tensor field T , $k \geq 1$, a (0,2)-tensor field A and a generalized curvature tensor \mathcal{B} on (M, g) , we define the tensors $B \cdot T$ and $Q(A, T)$ by

$$(A.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (B \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -T(\mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

$$(A.13) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(A, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $X, Y, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k \in \Xi(M)$.

Putting in the above formulas $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$, $T = R$ or $T = C$ or $T = S$, $A = g$ or $A = S$, we obtain the tensors $R \cdot R$, $R \cdot C$, $R \cdot S$, $C \cdot S$, $Q(g, R)$, $Q(S, R)$, $Q(g, C)$, $Q(g, S)$ and $Q(S, C)$ respectively.

Let (M, g) be covered by a system of charts $\{W; x^k\}$. We define by g_{ij} , R_{hijk} , S_{ij} , $G_{hijk} = g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}$ and

$$(A.14) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{hijk} &= R_{hijk} - \frac{1}{n-2}(g_{hk}S_{ij} - g_{hj}S_{ik} + g_{ij}S_{hk} - g_{ik}S_{hj}) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{(n-1)(n-2)}G_{hijk}, \end{aligned}$$

the local components of the metric tensor g , the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor R , the Ricci tensor S , the tensor G and the Weyl tensor C , respectively. Further, we denote by $S_{ij} = S_{ir}g_j^r$ and $S_i^j = g^{jr}S_{ir}$.

The local components of the (0,6)-tensors $R \cdot T$ and $Q(g, T)$ on M are the following:

$$(A.15) \quad (R \cdot T)_{hijklm} = g^{rs}(T_{rijk}R_{shlm} + T_{hrjk}R_{sil m} + T_{hirk}R_{sjlm} + T_{hijr}R_{sklm}),$$

$$(A.16) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(g, T)_{hijklm} &= -g_{mh}T_{lijk} - g_{mi}T_{hljk} - g_{mj}T_{hilk} - g_{mk}T_{hijl} \\ &+ g_{lh}T_{mijk} + g_{li}T_{hmjk} + g_{lj}T_{himk} + g_{lk}T_{hijm}, \end{aligned}$$

where T_{hijk} are the local components of the tensor T .

In this part we present some considerations leading to the definition of Deszcz Symmetric (Pseudosymmetric in the sense of Deszcz) and Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds.

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfying the condition $\nabla R = 0$ is said to be *locally symmetric*. Locally symmetric manifolds form a subclass of the class of manifolds characterized by the condition

$$(A.17) \quad R \cdot R = 0.$$

Semi-Riemannian manifolds fulfilling (A.17) are called *semisymmetric*. Here $R \cdot R$ is a (0,6)-tensor with components

$$(A.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (R \cdot R)_{hijklm} &= \nabla_m \nabla_l R_{hijk} - \nabla_l \nabla_m R_{hijk} \\ &= g^{rs}(R_{rijk}R_{shlm} + R_{hrjk}R_{sil m} + R_{hirk}R_{sjlm} + R_{hijr}R_{sklm}). \end{aligned}$$

A more general class of manifolds than the class of semisymmetric manifolds is the class of Deszcz Symmetric manifolds.

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *Deszcz Symmetric* [2] if at every point of M the condition

$$(A.19) \quad R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$$

holds on the set $\mathcal{U}_R = \{x \in M \mid R - \frac{\kappa}{n(n-1)}G \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_R is some function on \mathcal{U}_R . There exist various examples of Deszcz Symmetric manifolds which are not semisymmetric.

A semi-Riemannian manifold is said to be *Ricci-semisymmetric* if we have $R \cdot S = 0$ on M .

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *Ricci-pseudosymmetric* ([2], [5]) if at every point of M the condition

$$(A.20) \quad R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$$

holds on the set $\mathcal{U}_S = \{x \in M \mid S - \frac{\kappa}{n}g \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_S is some function on \mathcal{U}_S . The class of Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds is an extension of the class of Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds as well as of the class of pseudosymmetric manifolds. Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci-pseudosymmetric. The converse statement is not true. Evidently, every Ricci-semisymmetric ($R \cdot S = 0$) is Ricci-pseudosymmetric. There exist various examples of Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds which are not pseudosymmetric.

3. On Walker Type Identities Locally Conformal Kaehler Space Forms

In this section, we present results on l.c.K-space forms satisfying curvature identities named Walker type identities.

LEMMA 1. [1] For a symmetric (0,2)-tensor A and a generalized curvature tensor \mathcal{B} on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 3$, we have

$$(A.21) \quad Q(A, \mathcal{B})_{hijklm} + Q(A, \mathcal{B})_{jklmhi} + Q(A, \mathcal{B})_{lmhijk} = 0.$$

It is well-known that the following identity

$$(A.22) \quad (R \cdot R)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot R)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot R)_{lmhijk} = 0$$

holds on any semi-Riemannian manifold. The equation (A.22) is called *the Walker type identity*.

On any semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $n \geq 4$, the following three identities are equivalent to each other [4]:

$$(A.23) \quad (R \cdot C)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot C)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot C)_{lmhijk} = 0,$$

$$(A.24) \quad (C \cdot R)_{hijklm} + (C \cdot R)_{jklmhi} + (C \cdot R)_{lmhijk} = 0$$

and

$$(A.25) \quad (R \cdot C - C \cdot R)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot C - C \cdot R)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot C - C \cdot R)_{lmhijk} = 0.$$

The equations (A.23) - (A.25) are named *the Walker type identities*. We also can consider the following Walker type identity

$$(A.26) \quad (C \cdot C)_{hijklm} + (C \cdot C)_{jklmhi} + (C \cdot C)_{lmhijk} = 0.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $M(c)$ be a 4-dimensional l.c.K-space form, such that the tensor field P is hybrid and the trace of the tensor field P is constant. Then the Walker type identities (A.23) - (A.25) and (A.26) hold on $M(c)$.*

Proof. In view of (A.15), we have

$$(A.27) \quad (R \cdot C)_{hijklm} = g^{rs}(C_{rijk}R_{shlm} + C_{hrjk}R_{silm} + C_{hirk}R_{sjlm} + C_{hijr}R_{sklm}),$$

$$(A.28) \quad (C \cdot R)_{hijklm} = g^{rs}(R_{rijk}C_{shlm} + R_{hrjk}C_{silm} + R_{hirk}C_{sjlm} + R_{hijr}C_{sklm}).$$

Using (A.14) in (A.27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (R \cdot C)_{hijklm} &= (R \cdot R)_{hijklm} - \frac{1}{(m-2)} \left[R_{hkml}S_{ij} - R_{jhlm}S_{ik} + R_{jilm}S_{hk} \right. \\ &\quad - R_{kilm}S_{hj} - R_{hijl}S_{ik} + R_{ijlm}S_{hk} + R_{khlm}S_{ij} - R_{iklm}S_{hj} \\ &\quad + g_{ij}S_k^s R_{shlm} + g_{hk}S_j^s R_{silm} + g_{hk}S_i^s R_{sjlm} + g_{ij}S_h^s R_{sklm} \\ &\quad \left. - g_{ik}S_j^s R_{shlm} - g_{hj}S_k^s R_{silm} - g_{ik}S_h^s R_{sjlm} - g_{hj}S_i^s R_{sklm} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{(m-1)(m-2)} \left[R_{hkml}g_{ij} - R_{jhlm}g_{ik} + R_{jilm}g_{hk} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R_{kilm}g_{hj} + R_{ijlm}g_{hk} - R_{hijl}g_{ik} + R_{khlm}g_{ij} - R_{iklm}g_{hj} \right] \\ &= (R \cdot R)_{hijklm} - \frac{1}{(m-2)} \left[g_{ij}(A_{khlm} + A_{hkml}) + g_{hk}(A_{jilm} + A_{ijlm}) \right. \\ (A.29) \quad &\quad \left. - g_{ik}(A_{jhlm} + A_{hjlm}) - g_{hj}(A_{kilm} + A_{iklm}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(A.30) \quad A_{mijk} = S_m^s R_{sijk}.$$

Applying, in the same way, (A.14) in (A.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (C \cdot R)_{hijklm} &= (R \cdot R)_{hijklm} - \frac{1}{(m-2)} Q(S, R)_{hijklm} \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{(m-1)(m-2)} Q(g, R)_{hijklm} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(m-2)} (g_{lh}A_{mijk} - g_{mh}A_{lijk} - g_{li}A_{mhjk} + g_{mi}A_{lhjk} \\ (A.31) \quad &\quad + g_{lj}A_{mkhi} - g_{mj}A_{lkhi} - g_{kl}A_{mjhi} + g_{km}A_{ljhi}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (A.4) into (A.29) and (A.31) we get

$$(A.32) \quad (R \cdot C) = (R \cdot R) - \frac{\beta}{(m-2)} T$$

and

$$(A.33) \quad \begin{aligned} (C \cdot R) &= (R \cdot R) - \frac{(m-2)\alpha - \beta \operatorname{tr}P}{(m-2)(m-1)} Q(g, R) - \frac{\beta}{(m-2)} Q(P, R) \\ &- \frac{\beta}{(m-2)} \hat{T}, \end{aligned}$$

where T and \hat{T} are the (0,6)-tensor fields whose local components are given by

$$(A.34) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{hijklm} &= g_{ij}(E_{khlm} + E_{hklm}) + g_{hk}(E_{jilm} + E_{ijlm}) \\ &- g_{ik}(E_{jhlm} + E_{hjlm}) - g_{hj}(E_{kilm} + E_{iklm}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(A.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{hijklm} &= (g_{lh}E_{mijk} - g_{mh}E_{lij k} - g_{li}E_{mhjk} + g_{mi}E_{lhjk} \\ &+ g_{lj}E_{mkhi} - g_{mj}E_{lkhi} - g_{kl}E_{mjhi} + g_{km}E_{ljhi}), \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{hijk} = P_h^s R_{sijk}, \alpha = \frac{1}{4}\{(m+2)c + 3 \operatorname{tr}P\} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{3}{4}(m-4).$$

Then by direct computation, one obtains:

$$\sum_{(X_1, X_2), (X_3, X_4), (X, Y)} (T + \hat{T})(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence (A.23) (equivalently (A.24), (A.25)) holds if and only if

$$\frac{\beta}{(m-2)} \sum_{(X_1, X_2), (X_3, X_4), (X, Y)} T(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X, Y) = 0.$$

In particular, if $m = 4$, then $\beta = 0$, so (A.23) holds.

Further, we note that (A.14) turns into $C = R - \frac{2c + \operatorname{tr}P}{4}G$. This gives

$$(A.36) \quad \begin{aligned} C \cdot C &= C \cdot (R - \frac{2c + \operatorname{tr}P}{4}G) = C \cdot R \\ &= (R - \frac{2c + \operatorname{tr}P}{4}G) \cdot R = R \cdot R - \frac{2c + \operatorname{tr}P}{4}Q(g, R). \end{aligned}$$

Now using (A.21) and (A.22) we complete the proof. □

THEOREM 3. *Let $M(c)$ be an m -dimensional ($m > 4$) l.c.K-space form. If the tensor field P is proportional to g and the trace of the tensor field P is constant, then the Walker type identities (A.23) - (A.25) and (A.26) hold on $M(c)$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 1., the m -dimensional l.c.K-space form $M(c)$ is Einstein, so (A.23) - (A.25) hold on $M(c)$. Substituting $S = \frac{1}{4}\{(m+2)c + \frac{6(m-2)}{m}\operatorname{tr}P\}g$ into (A.14), we have

$$C = R - \frac{1}{4(m-1)} \left\{ (m+2)c + \frac{6(m-2)}{m}\operatorname{tr}P \right\} G.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 C \cdot C &= C \cdot \left(R - \frac{1}{4(m-1)} \left\{ (m+2)c + \frac{6(m-2)}{m} \text{tr}P \right\} G \right) \\
 &= C \cdot R \\
 &= \left(R - \frac{1}{4(m-1)} \left\{ (m+2)c + \frac{6(m-2)}{m} \text{tr}P \right\} G \right) \cdot R \\
 &= R \cdot R - \frac{1}{4(m-1)} \left\{ (m+2)c + \frac{6(m-2)}{m} \text{tr}P \right\} Q(g, R).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.21) and (A.22), we get the result. \square

LEMMA 2. Let $M(c)$ be an m -dimensional ($m > 4$) l.c.K-space form such that the tensor field P is hybrid. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m-2) & \left((R \cdot C)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot C)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot C)_{lmhijk} \right) \\
 (A.37) &= -\beta \left((g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{hijklm} + (g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{jklmhi} + (g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{lmhijk} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Substituting (A.4) into (A.14), we obtain

$$(A.38) \quad C = R - \frac{\beta}{m-2} (g \wedge P) - \frac{\alpha(m-2) - \beta \text{tr}P}{(m-1)(m-2)} G,$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \{ (m+2)c + 3 \text{tr}P \}$ and $\beta = \frac{3}{4}(m-4)$.

From (A.38), we get

$$(A.39) \quad R \cdot C = R \cdot R - \frac{\beta}{m-2} g \wedge (R \cdot P).$$

Using (A.22) the proof is completed. \square

LEMMA 3. If one of the Walker type identities (A.23) - (A.25) holds on an m -dimensional ($m > 4$) l.c.K-space form $M(c)$ and the tensor field P is hybrid, then on $M(c)$ we have

$$(A.40) \quad (g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{hijklm} + (g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{jklmhi} + (g \wedge (R \cdot P))_{lmhijk} = 0.$$

Proof. Lemma 2. completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 4. On any Ricci-pseudosymmetric l.c.K-space form $M(c)$, ($m > 4$) such that the tensor field P is hybrid, the Walker type identities (A.23) - (A.25) hold on $\mathcal{U}_S \subset M$.

Proof. In view of (A.20) and (A.4), m -dimensional Ricci-pseudosymmetric ($m > 4$) l.c.K-space forms satisfy

$$(A.41) \quad R \cdot P = L_S Q(g, P).$$

Using (A.41) and (A.37), we obtain the following identity on \mathcal{U}_S

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-2) \left((R \cdot C)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot C)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot C)_{lmhijk} \right) \\ &= -\beta L_S \left((g \wedge Q(g, P))_{hijklm} + (g \wedge Q(g, P))_{jklmhi} + (g \wedge Q(g, P))_{lmhijk} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (A.11) and (A.21), we obtain on \mathcal{U}_S

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-2) \left((R \cdot C)_{hijklm} + (R \cdot C)_{jklmhi} + (R \cdot C)_{lmhijk} \right) \\ &= -\beta L_S \left(Q(P, G)_{hijklm} + Q(P, G)_{jklmhi} + Q(P, G)_{lmhijk} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (A.23) (equivalently (A.24), (A.25)) holds on $M(c)$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments.

References

- [1] DEPRez J., DESZCZ R., VERSTRAELEN L., *Examples of pseudo-symmetric conformally flat warped products*, Chinese J. Math. **17** (1989), 51–65.
- [2] DESZCZ R., *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A **44** (1992), 1–34.
- [3] DESZCZ R. AND GŁOGOWSKA M., *Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces*, Publ. Inst. Math.(Beograd) (N.S.) **72** 86 (2002), 81–93.
- [4] DESZCZ R., GŁOGOWSKA M., VERSTRAELEN L., *On some generalized Einstein metric conditions on hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Colloq. Math. **96** (2003), 149–166.
- [5] DESZCZ R. AND HOTLOS M., *Remarks on Riemannian manifolds satisfying a certain curvature condition imposed on the Ricci tensor*, Prace. Nauk. Pol. Szczec. **11** (1989), 23–34.
- [6] DESZCZ R., VERSTRAELEN L., VRANCKEN L., *On the symmetry of warped product spacetimes*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation **23** (1991), 671–681.
- [7] DESZCZ R. AND VERSTRAELEN L., *Hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian conformally flat manifolds*, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, III, World Sci., River Edge, NJ 1991, 131–147.
- [8] KASHIWADA T., *Some properties of locally conformal Kaehler manifolds*, Hokkaido Math. J. **8** (1978), 191–198.
- [9] MATSUMOTO K., *Locally conformal Kaehler manifolds and their submanifolds*, Mem. Sect. Ştiinţ. Acad. Română Ser. IV **14** 2 (1991), 7–49.
- [10] VAISMAN I., *On locally conformal almost Kaehler manifolds*, Israel J. of Math. **24** (1976), 338–351.

AMS Subject Classification: 53B20, 53B25, 53B30, 53B50, 53C25

Pegah MUTLU, Zerrin ŞENTÜRK,
 Mathematics Engineering Department, Istanbul Technical University
 Maslak, TR-34469, Istanbul, TURKEY
 e-mail: sariaslani@itu.edu.tr, senturk@itu.edu.tr

Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 13.11.2015 e accettato il 16.02.2016.